### NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

## COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

## **DIVISION ONE**

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE,

D044832

Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

(Super. Ct. No. SCD183862)

THEODORE R. BOOSE,

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, David J. Danielsen, Judge. Affirmed.

Theodore R. Boose entered a negotiated guilty plea to residential burglary (Pen. Code, §§ 459/460)<sup>1</sup> and receiving stolen property (§ 496, subd. (a)). The court sentenced him to prison for a stipulated four years: the middle term for residential burglary. It

<sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Penal Code.

imposed a concurrent term on the receiving stolen property conviction. The court denied a certificate of probable cause. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b).)

### DISCUSSION

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief setting forth the evidence in the superior court. Counsel presents no argument for reversal but asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, counsel refers to as a possible but not arguable issue whether there is an adequate factual basis for the guilty plea.<sup>2</sup>

We granted Boose permission to file a brief on his own behalf. He has not responded. A review of the entire record pursuant to *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, including the possible issue referred to pursuant to *Anders v. California, supra*, 386 U.S. 738, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issue. Competent counsel has represented Boose on this appeal.

Because Boose entered a guilty plea, he cannot challenge the facts underlying the conviction. (§ 1237.5; *People v. Martin* (1973) 9 Cal.3d 687, 693.) We need not recite the facts.

# DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.	
	HALLER, J.
WE CONCUR:	
McCONNELL, P. J.	
McINTYRE, J.	